UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/529,954	02/28/2006	Mark Patrick	4623-051017	5535
28289 7590 05/29/2008 THE WEBB LAW FIRM, P.C. 700 KOPPERS BUILDING			EXAMINER	
			SPAHN, GAY	
436 SEVENTH AVENUE PITTSBURGH, PA 15219			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3635	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/29/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Symmony	10/529,954	PATRICK, MARK			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Gay Ann Spahn	3635			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).					
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 28 Fe	bruary 2006				
	action is non-final.				
· <u> </u>	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is				
	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
		3 3.3.2.3.			
Disposition of Claims					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-15 and 17</u> is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-15 and 17</u> are subject to restriction a	and/or election requirement.				
Application Papers					
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
<i>; ; ;</i> _	s have been received				
		on No			
2. Certified copies of the priority documents		<u></u>			
_ .	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage				
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date					
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)					
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6) Other:					

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

This application contains claims directed to more than one species of the generic invention. These species are deemed to lack unity of invention because they are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

The species are as follows:

SPECIES I – Fig. 2 with reinforcing component 19 of Fig. 3 and solid slab;

SPECIES II – Fig. 2 with reinforcing component 19 of Fig. 3 and composite slab;

SPECEIS III – Fig. 2 with reinforcing component having zig-zag shape line wires and solid slab;

SPECEIS IV – Fig. 2 with reinforcing component having zig-zag shape line wires and composite slab;

SPECIES V – Fig. 5 with reinforcing component 19 of Fig. 3 and solid slab;

SPECIES VI – Fig. 5 with reinforcing component 19 of Fig. 3 and composite slab;

SPECIES VII – Fig. 5 with reinforcing component having zig-zag shape line wires and solid slab;

SPECIES VIII – Fig. 5 with reinforcing component having zig-zag shape line wires and composite slab;

SPECIES IX – Fig. 6 with reinforcing component 19 of Fig. 3 and solid slab;

SPECIES X – Fig. 6 with reinforcing component 19 of Fig. 3 and composite slab;

Art Unit: 3635

SPECEIS XI – Fig. 6 with reinforcing component having zig-zag shape line wires and solid slab;

OR

SPECEIS XII – Fig. 6 with reinforcing component having zig-zag shape line wires and composite slab.

Applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single species to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. The reply must also identify the claims readable on the elected species, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered non-responsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

The claims appear to correspond to the species listed above in the following manner:

SPECIES I - claims 1-3, 7, 8, 13-15, and 17

SPECIES II - claims 1-8, 13-15, and 17

SPECIES III - claims 1-3, 7, 9, 13-15, and 17

SPECIES IV – claims 1-7, 9, 13-15, and 17

SPECIES V - claims 1-3, 7, 8, 13-15, and 17

Application/Control Number: 10/529,954

Art Unit: 3635

SPECIES VI - claims 1-8, 13-15, and 17

SPECIES VII – claims 1-3, 7, 13-15, and 17

SPECIES VIII - claims 1-7, 9, 13-15, and 17

SPECIES IX - claims 1-3, 7, 8, 10-15, and 17

SPECIES X – claims 1-8, 10-15, and 17

SPECEIS XI – claims 1-3, 7, 9-15, and 17

SPECEIS XII – claims 1-7, 9-15, and 17

The following claim(s) appear to be generic: 1-3, 7, 13-15, and 17.

The species listed above do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, the species lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons:

SPECIES I has the special technical feature of being a composite beam having the combination of a single profiled steel sheet 7 of Fig. 2, a reinforcing component with parallel line wires 41 of Fig. 3, and a solid slab;

SPECIES II has the special technical feature of being a composite beam having the combination of a single profiled steel sheet 7of Fig. 2, a reinforcing component with parallel line wires 41 of Fig. 3, and a composite slab;

SPECIES III has the special technical feature of being a composite beam having the combination of a single profiled steel sheet 7 of Fig. 2, a reinforcing component with zig-zag shaped line wires, and a solid slab;

SPECIES IV has the special technical feature of being a composite beam having the combination of a single profiled steel sheet 7 of Fig. 2, a reinforcing component with zig-zag shaped line wires, and a composite slab;

SPECIES V has the special technical feature of being a composite beam having the combination of a split profiled steel sheet 7 of Fig. 5, a reinforcing component with parallel line wires 41 of Fig. 3, and a solid slab;

Page 5

SPECIES VI has the special technical feature of being a composite beam having the combination of a split profiled steel sheet 7 of Fig. 5, a reinforcing component with parallel line wires 41 of Fig. 3, and a composite slab;

SPECIES VII has the special technical feature of being a composite beam having the combination of a split profiled steel sheet 7 of Fig. 5, a reinforcing component with zig-zag shaped line wires, and a solid slab;

SPECIES VIII has the special technical feature of being a composite beam having the combination of a split profiled steel sheet 7 of Fig. 5, a reinforcing component with zig-zag shaped line wires, and a composite slab;

SPECIES IX has the special technical feature of being a composite beam having the combination of a split profiled steel sheet 7 of Fig. 6, a reinforcing component with parallel line wires 41 of Fig. 3, a solid slab, and additional cranked handlebar-shaped reinforcing elements;

SPECIES X has the special technical feature of being a composite beam having the combination of a split profiled steel sheet 7 of Fig. 6, a reinforcing component with parallel line wires 41 of Fig. 3, a composite slab, and additional cranked handlebar-shaped reinforcing elements;

SPECIES XI has the special technical feature of being a composite beam having the combination of a split profiled steel sheet 7 of Fig. 6, a reinforcing component with

zig-zag shaped line wires, a solid slab, and additional cranked handlebar-shaped reinforcing elements; and

SPECIES XII has the special technical feature of being a composite beam having the combination of a split profiled steel sheet 7 of Fig. 6, a reinforcing component with zig-zag shaped line wires, a composite slab, and additional cranked handlebar-shaped reinforcing elements.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement may be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To preserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Gay Ann Spahn whose telephone number is (571)272-7731. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday, 10:30 am to 7:00 pm.

Application/Control Number: 10/529,954 Page 7

Art Unit: 3635

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Richard E. Chilcot can be reached on (571)-272-6777. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Gay Ann Spahn/ Gay Ann Spahn, Patent Examiner May 25, 2008